UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/637,124	08/08/2003	Charles Binzel	CS21165RL	3836
20280 MOTOROLA I	7590 03/19/200 NC		EXAMINER	
	S HIGHWAY 45	VO, NGUYEN THANH		
W4 - 39Q LIBERTYVILI	LE, IL 60048-5343		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/19/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DOCKETING.LIBERTYVILLE@MOTOROLA.COM ADB035@Motorola.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/637,124	BINZEL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	NGUYEN VO	2618
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr tute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u> 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the practice.	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matters,	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-23 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-18 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 19-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	drawn from consideration.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 August 2003</u> is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreing a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the priority. 	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applic riority documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ. Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on 12/05/2008, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY

REOPENED. New ground of rejections are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the

following two options:

(1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply

under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,

(2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed

by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and

appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth

in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant

must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by

signing below:

/Edward Urban/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2618

Art Unit: 2618

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jokinen (5,570,369) in view of Mullins (5,404,374).

As to claim 19, Jokinen discloses a mobile wireless communication device capable of receiving an incoming message transmitted in a series of portions over successive intervals, comprising a receiver (see the receiving path in figure 1); a controller 19 coupled to the receiver, the controller 19 configured to cause the receiver to receive portions of the incoming message in at least two the successive intervals (see "receiving only 2 of the 4 time slots" at column 6 lines 28-31"); the controller

Page 4

configured to decode the portions of the incoming message received (see the decoder 15 in figure 2). In Jokinen, the controller 19 causes the receiver to receive only 2 of the four time slots (see "receiving only 2 of the 4 time slots" at column 6 lines 28-31).

Jokinen, however, fails to expressly disclose that a first time slot is not received by the receiver as recited in the claim. Those skilled in the art would have recognized that as long as a message is successfully reconstructed, the above difference would not involve any inventive concept because it merely depend on which time slot one would like his receiver to not receive a message portion. Mullins discloses that a message can still be successfully reconstructed if a first burst of the message is not received by a receiver (see column 8 lines 25-27, lines 48-54). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the above teaching of Mullins to Jokinen such that the controller causes the receiver to not receive a portion of the incoming message in a first of the successive intervals, so that the receiver does not always have to be turned on during the first time slot.

As to claim 20, Jokinen as modified by Mullins discloses the claimed limitation (see Jokinen, column 6 lines 11-13 which discloses receiving a third time slot; see also "receiving only 2 of the 4 time slots" at column 6 lines 28-31", and "the second and third time slot portions of four time slot messages" at column 6 lines 54-57; see also Mullins, column 8 lines 25-27, lines 48-54).

As to claim 21, Jokinen as modified by Mullins discloses the claimed limitation (see Jokinen, column 6 lines 24-27 which discloses receiving a fourth time slot; see also

Art Unit: 2618

"receiving only 2 of the 4 time slots" at column 6 lines 28-31"; see also Mullins, column 8 lines 25-27, lines 48-54).

As to claims 22-23, see Jokinen, column 6 lines 3-27.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1, 3-18 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 19-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NGUYEN VO whose telephone number is (571)272-7901. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban can be reached on (571) 272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nguyen Vo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2618